

Docket No.: 248742US2

OBLON
SPIVAK
MCCLELIAND
MAIER
&
NEUSTADT
P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 10/775,243

Applicants: Shigeru SHOJI Filing Date: February 11, 2004

For: HIGH DENSITY INDUCTOR AND METHOD FOR

PRODUCING SAME Group Art Unit: 2832

Examiner: DONOVAN, L. D.

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

Restriction Response

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A 'duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAJER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Gregory J. Maier

Registration No. 25,599

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax) Zachary S. Stern

Registration No. 54,719

DOCKET NO: 248742US2



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

SHIGERU SHOJI : EXAMINER: CONOVAN, L. D.

SERIAL NO: 10/775,243 ::

FILED: FEBRUARY 11, 2004 : GROUP ART UNIT: 2832

FOR: HIGH DENSITY INDUCTOR AND

METHOD FOR PRODUCING SAME

RESTRICTION RESPONSE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the Restriction Requirement in the Official Action mailed September 1, 2005, Applicant elects, with traverse, Group I, Claims 1 and 2, for further examination on the merits in the present application.

Applicant respectfully traverses the Restriction Requirement for the following reasons.

MPEP § 803 states:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.

The claims of the present invention would appear to be part of an overlapping search area.

Applicant also respectfully traverses the outstanding Restriction Requirement on the grounds that a search and examination of the entire application would not place a *serious* burden on the Examiner.

Accordingly, an action on the merits is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Customer Number} \\ 22850 \end{array}$

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220 (OSMMN 06/04)

GJM:ZSS:dnf

I:\ATTY\ZS\24's\248\248742US\248742 REST RESPOSE.DOC

Gregory J. Maier Attorney of Record Registration No. 24,913

Zachary S. Stern Registration No. 54,719